

RACIAL DIFFERENCES IN CANCER AMONG OLDER ADULTS WITH AND WITHOUT HIV

Roxanne Patino^{1,2}, Ana W. Capuano², Bryan D. James², Crystal M. Glover² and Raj C. Shah²

1-University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2-Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center, Rush University Medical Center



Background:

- Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) attacks the body's immune system, making the person more likely to get infection-related cancers.¹
- Racial/ethnic minorities have higher rates of HIV infection² and cancer³ compared to non-Hispanic Whites.
- The introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has led to increased life expectancies for HIV+ people, approaching that of the general population, across racial groups.²
- Previous research has shown that aging is associated with an increased chance of developing specific cancers including lung, prostate, colorectal, and breast.⁴
- However, racial disparities persist as related to HIV status and cancer.³

Objective:

- The purpose of this study is to examine racial differences in likelihood of cancer among older adults with and without HIV.
- A secondary aim of this study is to understand gender differences in likelihood of cancer among older adults with and without HIV.

Methods:

- **Rush Center of Excellence on Disparities and HIV and Aging (CEDHA) Cohort:** Total population of 371 were sampled: 177 HIV+, 194 HIV-, 255 Blacks and 116 Whites.
- **Ethics:** The CEDHA Research Core was approved by the Rush Institutional Review Board.
- **Variables:** All variables were self-reported except HIV status, which was determined by blood test.
 - ◆ **Race:** "With which group do you most closely identify yourself?" Response Options: 1= White and 2= Black
 - ◆ **Cancer*:** "Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse or therapist that you had cancer, malignancy or tumor of any type?" Response Options: 1= Yes, 2= Suspect or Possible, and 3= No.
 - ◆ **Other covariates:** *age* (at baseline), *gender* (1= Male and 0=Female), and *education* (years of education).

*1 and 2 combined for analysis

Statistics:

- Statistical analysis compared demographic and clinical characteristics of HIV+ and HIV- individuals using t-tests for continuous variables (age) and chi-square for categorical variables (HIV status, race, gender and cancer). Proportion and odds ratio of reporting cancer were ascertained, along with confidence intervals. Potential cofounders were identified.
- Statistical tests were two-sided and statistical significance level of $\alpha=0.05$.

References:

- Coghill, A. E., Shiels, M. S., Suneja, G., & Engels, E. A. (2015). Elevated Cancer-Specific Mortality Among HIV-Infected Patients in the United States. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 33(21), 2376-2383. doi:10.1200/jco.2014.59.5967
- Marcus, J. L., Chao, C., Leyden, W. A., Xu, L., Yu, J., Horberg, M. A., . . . Silverberg, M. A. (2015). Survival Among HIV-Infected and HIV-Uninfected Individuals with Common Non-AIDS-Defining Cancers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.*, 1167-1173. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-1079
- Guest, J., Rentsch, C., & Rimland, D. (2014). Comparison of colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis in HIV-positive and HIV-negative veterans. *AIDS Care*, 26(12), 1490-1493.
- Yanik, Elizabeth L., et al. "Cancer Risk among the HIV-Infected Elderly in the United States." *Aids*, vol. 30, no. 10, 2016, pp. 1663-1668. doi:10.1097/qad.0000000000001077.

Acknowledgements: Support for this work was provided by the NIH (P20MD006886, Barnes LL; and, P30AG01). We would like to thank the CEDHA Core Participants.

Results:

Table 1

Characteristics of 371 participants from the CEDHA cohort study by stratified HIV status

Characteristic n (%) or mean (SD)	n	HIV+ (n=177)	HIV- (n=194)
<i>White</i>	116	53 (29.9%)	63 (32.5%)
<i>Black</i>	255	124 (70.1%)	131 (67.5%)
<i>Male</i>	272	134 (75.7%)	138 (71.1%)
<i>Female</i>	97	42 (23.7%)	55 (28.4%)
<i>Age</i>	371	58.7 (4.46)	58.9 (6.80)
<i>Education</i>	371	13.2 (2.82)	13.6 (2.96)
<i>Reported cancer</i>	53	26 (49.1%)	27 (50.9%)

There were no significant differences in any of these variables by HIV status.

Table 2

Proportion and odds ratio of reporting cancer among participants with HIV+ and HIV-

Variable	n	Proportion (%)	OR _{cancer} (95% CI)
Total (N=371)			
<i>HIV+</i>	177	14.7%	1.07 (0.60, 1.91)
<i>HIV-</i>	194	13.9%	
<i>Black</i>	255	11.8%	0.54 (0.30, 0.98)
<i>White</i>	116	19.8%	
<i>Female</i>	97	16.5%	1.26 (0.66, 2.38)
<i>Male</i>	272	11.8%	
HIV+ (n=177)			
<i>Black</i>	124	11.3%	0.44 (0.19, 1.02)
<i>White</i>	53	22.6%	
<i>Female</i>	42	14.3%	0.95 (0.35, 2.55)
<i>Male</i>	134	14.9%	
HIV- (n=194)			
<i>Black</i>	131	12.2%	0.66 (0.29, 1.52)
<i>White</i>	63	17.5%	
<i>Female</i>	55	18.2%	1.33 (0.71, 2.49)
<i>Male</i>	138	12.3%	

- In the total cohort, Blacks had lower odds of cancer compared to Whites (Table 2).
- When stratified by HIV status: in both HIV+ and HIV- groups, black participants had lower odds of cancer than White participants but the difference was not statistically significant (Table 2).
- There were no gender differences in the odds of having cancer in any group (Table 2).
- There was no evidence of confounding by gender, age or education (not significantly associated with cancer or HIV status).

Conclusion:

- In previous research, Blacks were more likely to have cancer than Whites.
- In the current study, Blacks had lower likelihood of cancer than Whites (in total and by HIV status).
- Potential reasons for our finding are survival bias (i.e. Blacks with cancer more likely to die before study), or that this high risk cohort is not representative of the US population.
- Other study limitations include self-report of cancer and cross-sectional analysis.
- Future studies could use medical records (i.e. date of cancer diagnosis, cancer type), and younger people (middle age) of varying lifestyles (i.e. income, location, etc.).